

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-631-4
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
AARON LOINES,)	
)	
Defendant.)	<u>ORDER</u>

This matter is before the Court upon Magistrate Judge Amanda M. Knapp's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") that the Court accept Defendant Aaron Loines' ("Defendant") pleas of guilty and enter findings of guilty against Defendant. [ECF No. 72](#).

On February 7, 2024, the Government filed a Superseding Indictment against Defendant alleging violations of 21 U.S.C. § 846, 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B) and 18:2, 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B), 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C), and 21 U.S.C. § 843(b), conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, distribution of controlled substances, distribution of fentanyl, possession with intent to distribute fentanyl, possession with intent to distribute cocaine base (crack), possession with Intent to distribute controlled substances, and use of a communications facility to facilitate a felony drug offense. [ECF No. 38](#). Thereafter, Defendant notified the Court of his intent to enter a plea of guilty. ECF No. 65. The Court issued an Order referring the matter to the United States Magistrate Judge for the purpose of receiving Defendant's guilty plea. [ECF No. 66](#).

On March 18, 2024, Magistrate Judge Knapp conducted a hearing during which Defendant consented to the order of referral ([ECF No. 71](#)) and entered pleas of guilty to Counts

(1:23-CR-631-4)

1, 11, 17, 24, 25, 26, and 32. Magistrate Judge Knapp received Defendant's guilty pleas, and issued a Report recommending that this Court accept Defendant Aaron Loines' pleas and enter a finding of guilty. [ECF No. 72](#).

The time limitation to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation has expired and neither party has filed objections or requested an extension of time.

Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b) states:

Before the court accepts a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, the defendant may be placed under oath, and the court must address the defendant personally in open court. During this address, the court must inform the defendant of, and determine that the defendant understands, the following: (A) the government's right, in a prosecution for perjury or false statement, to use against the defendant any statement that the defendant gives under oath; (B) the right to plead not guilty, or having already so pleaded, to persist in that plea; (C) the right to a jury trial; (D) the right to be represented by counsel - and if necessary have the court appoint counsel - at trial and at every other stage of the proceeding; (E) the right at trial to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be protected from compelled self-incrimination, to testify and present evidence, and to compel the attendance of witnesses; (F) the defendant's waiver of these trial rights if the court accepts a plea of guilty or nolo contendere; (G) the nature of each charge to which the defendant is pleading; (H) any maximum possible penalty, including imprisonment, fine, and term of supervised release; (I) any mandatory minimum penalty; (J) any applicable forfeiture; (K) the court's authority to order restitution; (L) the court's obligation to impose a special assessment; (M) in determining a sentence, the court's obligation to calculate the applicable sentencing-guideline range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. §3553(a); and (N) the terms of any plea-agreement provision waiving the right to appeal or to collaterally attack the sentence.

The undersigned has reviewed the transcript and the Magistrate Judge's R&R and finds, that in her careful and thorough proceeding, Magistrate Judge Knapp satisfied the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 and the United States Constitution. Defendant was placed under oath and

(1:23-CR-631-4)

determined to be competent to enter pleas of guilty. Defendant was made aware of the charges and consequences of conviction and his rights and waiver thereof. Magistrate Judge Knapp also correctly determined that Defendant had consented to proceed before the magistrate judge and tendered his pleas of guilty knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily. Furthermore, the magistrate judge also correctly found that there was an adequate factual basis for the pleas.

Upon *de novo* review of the record, the Report and Recommendation is adopted. Therefore, Defendant Aaron Loines is adjudged guilty of Counts 1, 11, 17, 24, 25, 26, and 32 of the Superseding Indictment, conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, distribution of controlled substances, distribution of fentanyl, possession with intent to distribute fentanyl, possession with intent to distribute cocaine base (crack), possession with Intent to distribute controlled substances, and use of a communications facility to facilitate a felony drug offense, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B) and 18:2, 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B), 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C), and 21 U.S.C. § 843(b), respectively.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 18, 2024
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge